

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

---

In re: Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming  
Products Liability Litigation

---

MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/DTS)

This Document Relates To:

*Rhoton, et al.*, 15-cv-4360-JNE-DTS  
*Lockwood*, 17-cv-0823-JNE-DTS  
*Connelly*, 17-cv-1084-JNE-DTS  
*Milam*, 16-cv-2125-JNE-DTS  
*Bloom*, 16-cv-2273-JNE-DTS  
*White*, 16-cv-2276-JNE-DTS  
*Johns*, 16-cv-4190-JNE-DTS  
*Oliver*, 16-cv-4247-JNE-DTS  
*Taylor*, 17-cv-0199-JNE-DTS  
*Wenzel*, 17-cv-4576-JNE-DTS  
*Hylas*, 17-cv-0967-JNE-DTS  
*Miles, et al.*, 17-cv-1235-JNE-DTS  
*Myers*, 17-cv-1327-JNE-DTS  
*Rangel, et al.*, 17-cv-1865-JNE-DTS  
*Ponder*, 17-cv-2666-JNE-DTS  
*Olsen, et al.*, 17-cv-3538-JNE-DTS  
*Weeks*, 17-cv-4527-JNE-DTS  
*Capone*, 17-cv-5274-JNE-DTS  
*Mencl*, 18-cv-0106-JNE-DTS  
*Davis*, 18-cv-0166-JNE-DTS  
*Ballaso*, 18-cv-0575-JNE-DTS  
*Gohl*, 18-cv-1571-JNE-DTS  
*Walters*, 18-cv-2764-JNE-DTS  
*Lovett*, 19-cv-0391-JNE-DTS

---

**(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' NINTH MOTION  
TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO  
COMPLY WITH PRETRIAL ORDER  
NO. 23, FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b), AND  
25(a), OR FOR LACK OF STANDING**

This came before the Court on Defendants 3M Company's and Arizant Healthcare Inc.'s (collectively "Defendants") Ninth Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Pretrial Order No. 23, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) and 25(a), or for Lack of Standing.

Based on the files, records, and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion is GRANTED. The following actions are dismissed with prejudice in their entirety:

Case Number	Title	Firm Name
16-cv-2125-JNE-DTS	<i>Milam v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
16-cv-2273-JNE-DTS	<i>Bloom v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin, LLP
16-cv-2276-JNE-DTS	<i>White v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Paglialunga & Harris, PC
16-cv-4190-JNE-DTS	<i>Johns v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
16-cv-4247-JNE-DTS	<i>Oliver v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
17-cv-0199-JNE-DTS	<i>Taylor v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
17-cv-4576-JNE-DTS	<i>Wenzel v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
17-cv-0823-JNE-DTS	<i>Lockwood v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Davis & Crump, P.C.
17-cv-0967-JNE-DTS	<i>Hylas v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Bailey Peavy Bailey Cowan Heckaman, PLLC
17-cv-1084-JNE-DTS	<i>Connelly v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
17-cv-1327-JNE-DTS	<i>Myers v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
17-cv-2666-JNE-DTS	<i>Ponder v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Brown & Crouppen, P.C.
17-cv-3538-JNE-DTS	<i>Olsen, et al. v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Loncar & Associates, P.C.
17-cv-4527-JNE-DTS	<i>Weeks v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
17-cv-5274-JNE-DTS	<i>Capone v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
18-cv-0106-JNE-DTS	<i>Mencl v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Langdon & Emison, LLC
18-cv-0166-JNE-DTS	<i>Davis v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Johnson Becker, PLLC
18-cv-0575-JNE-DTS	<i>Ballaso v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
18-cv-1571-JNE-DTS	<i>Gohl v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Jones Ward PLC
18-cv-2764-JNE-DTS	<i>Walters v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Justinian & Associates PLLC

In addition, the claims of the main plaintiffs in the following actions are dismissed with prejudice but the spouses' loss of consortium claims are not dismissed:

Case Number	Title	Firm Name
15-cv-4360-JNE-DTS	<i>Rhoton, et al. v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Pittman, Dutton & Hellums, P.C.
17-cv-1235-JNE-DTS	<i>Miles, et al. v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Kelley, Bernheim & Dolinsky LLC
17-cv-1865-JNE-DTS	<i>Rangel, et al. v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Brown & Crouppen, P.C

The following action is dismissed in its entirety, without prejudice:

Case Number	Title	Firm Name
19-cv-0391-JNE-DTS	<i>Lovett v. 3M Co., et al.</i>	Brown & Crouppen, P.C.

LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY.

Date:

BY THE COURT

---

JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT